

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States liqued and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1480 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,694	08/31/2001	Matthew Gast	NC30561	NC30561 2124	
75	90 02/13/2006		EXAMINER		
Brian T. Rivers, Esq.			HA, LEYNNA A		
Nokia, Inc. Mail drop 1-4-7	255		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6000 Connection Dr.			2135		
Irving, TX 75	039		DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>:</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/944,694	GAST, MATTHEW					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LEYNNA T. HA	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
• •	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
. Make The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date							
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. Solution of the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of bow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner maintains the Final rejection. The Binding reference discloses using transmission control protocol (col.col.6, line 65 - col.7, line 3) and includes cryptographic header (col.9, lines 1-65) wherein Binding discusses the cryptographic operations of establishing a common security session and to agree on the cipher suite and session key as encrypted parameters for the message transmission (col.9, lines 6-8 and col.12, lines 39-46). Cryptographic entails some sort of encryption or algorithm and is also known as ciphering. Binding does teach performing cryptographic operations based on network protocol packets where the receipient must agree and be able to decrypt based on the message being transmitted in the header of the message, thus cryptographic operations is necessary to ensure proper recipient and prevents wrongful accesses (col.13, lines 1-9 and col.15, lines 52-62). Applicant fails to further limit what is included in the cryptographic rules, therefore leaves the cryptographic operation and rules to the broadest and reasonable interpretation.

Applicant fails to further limit what the cleartext data is and to have the first cleartext be the WML translating to the HTML (second cleartext) is not claimed. Applicant merely limits translating the first plurality of cleartext data into a second plurality of cleartext data. This limitation does not limit the type of first and second cleartext data that the applicant specifically indicated in the argument, thus cleartext data is merely unecrypted or decrypted data which is no longer in a transformed state. Further, translation can be given as a form of encryption/decryption which meets the meaning to change from one form to another. Therefore, the cleartext of Binding is reencrypted that would then be translated or decrypted into (another) cleartext data.

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100